IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 NORRIS LEE,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CV F 02 5037 LJO AWI WMW PC

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

MARK JOHNSON, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se. Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 72-302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

By order filed June 10, 2008, the court issued an order dismissing the operative complaint for failure to state a claim and directing Plaintiff to file an amended complaint within thirty days. Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.

In the June 10, 2008, order the court informed Plaintiff of the deficiencies in his complaint, and dismissed the complaint on the ground that Plaintiff had failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. Because Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, the court recommends dismissal of the claims made in the original complaint with prejudice for failure to state a federal claim upon which the court could grant relief. See Noll v. Carlson, 809 F. 2d 1446, 1448 (9th Cir. 1987) (prisoner must be given notice of deficiencies and opportunity to

Case 1:02-cv-05037-LJO-GSA Document 14 Filed 07/15/08 Page 2 of 2

amend prior to dismissing for failure to state a claim). 1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that: 2 1. Defendants Alameida, Marsh, Abatti-Harlow, Akin, Hough, Garcia, White, 3 4 Thissen, Huff and Duncan be dismissed. 5 2. Plaintiff's Failure to Train and Retaliation claims be dismissed. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District 6 7 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B). Within twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file 8 9 written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file 10 11 objections within the specified time waives all objections to the judge's findings of fact. See Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998). Failure to file objections within the 12 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 13 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 14 IT IS SO ORDERED. 15 /s/ William M. Wunderlich
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE **Dated:** July 15, 2008 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26